

# Operational Report for Possum Control in the Poulter Valley and South Hurunui Valley

12 Nov 2013 - 03 Dec 2013

27/05/2014

Department of Conservation

Rangiora

## Contents

1. Operation Summary.....	2
2. Introduction.....	3
2.1 TREATMENT AREA.....	3
2.2 MANAGEMENT HISTORY.....	5
3 Outcomes and Targets .....	5
3.1 CONSERVATION OUTCOMES.....	5
3.2 TARGETS.....	5
3.2.1 Result Targets.....	5
3.2.2 Outcome Targets .....	5
4 Consultation, Consents & Notifications .....	6
4.1 CONSULTATION .....	6
4.2 CONSENTS .....	6
4.3 NOTIFICATION.....	7
5 Methods.....	7
5.1 TARGET SPECIES.....	7
5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	9
5.2.1 Effects on Non-Target Species.....	9
5.2.2 Effects on Soil and Water Quality .....	10
5.2.3 Effects on Ecosystems .....	10
5.2.4 Effects on Human Health.....	10
6 Monitoring Results and Outcomes .....	10
6.1 RESULT MONITORING - TARGET SPECIES .....	10
6.2 RESULT MONITORING - ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	11
6.3 OUTCOME MONITORING .....	11

# 1. Operation Summary

**Operation Name** Possum Control in Poulter Valley and South Hurunui Valley

**Operation Date** 12 Nov 2013 - 03 Dec 2013

**Office** Rangiora **Region:** South and Eastern South Island

**Pestlink Reference** 1314WMK03

**Treatment Area** **Size (ha)**

Poulter Valley and South Hurunui Valley 9500.00

Conservation Unit Name(s)	GA Id(s)
Arthur's Pass National Park	2806320
Lake Sumner Forest Park	2807535

## Treatment Block Details

Treatment Blocks	Size (ha)
South Hurunui Valley	2500.00
Poulter Valley	6870.00

**Contractor Name** WaytoGo Helicopters

Treatment Dates	Start	Completion
Poulter Valley	13 Nov 2013	02 Dec 2013
South Hurunui Valley	12 Nov 2013	03 Dec 2013

## Target Pest Details

Treatment Blocks	Target Pests	Control Method	Name
Poulter Valley	Possum	Pesticide Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in Poulter Valley-(1)
South Hurunui Valley	Possum	Pesticide Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in South Hurunui Valley-(2)

## Conservation Outcome(s)

To ensure the long-term survival of orange-fronted parakeet and mohua within the operational area.

Result Target(s)	Treatment Area/Block	What we got
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Poulter and South Hurunui Valleys) Possum populations will be reduced to less than 1% RTC (equivalent to 6% wax tag BMI) to allow orange-fronted parakeet and mohua populations to</li> </ul>	Poulter Valley	9% BMI = 1.5% RTC

recover.

- (Poulter and South Hurunui Valleys) South Hurunui Valley 10% BMI = 1.66% RTC  
Possum populations will be reduced to less than 1% RTC (equivalent to 6% wax tag BMI) to allow orange-fronted parakeet and mohua populations to recover.

**Outcome Targets**

- All known orange-fronted parakeet nests are fully protected against introduced predators.

**What we got**

Results still to come.

## 2. Introduction

### 2.1 TREATMENT AREA

**Non-target species**

Common Name	Scientific Name
Mouse	Mus musculus
Kea	Nestor notabilis
Possum	Trichosurus vulpecula
Rabbit	Oryctolagus cuniculus cuniculus
Hare	Lepus europaeus occidentalis
Norway rat	Rattus norvegicus
Hedgehog	Erinaceus europaeus occidentalis
Cat	Felis catus

**Target benefit species**

Common Name	Scientific Name
Malherb's parakeet, kakariki, kakariki, kakariki karaka, kakariki karaka, orangefronted parakeet, or Mohua, Yellowhead, bush canary, mohoua, Mohoua ochrocephala houa	Cyanoramphus malherbi

**Threatened species**

Common Name	Scientific Name
-------------	-----------------

Mohua, Yellowhead, bush canary, mohoua, Mohoua ochrocephala houa	
Malherb's parakeet, kakariki, kakariki, kakariki karaka, kakariki karaka, orangefronted parakeet, or	Cyanoramphus malherbi
Yellow-crowned Parakeet, Kakariki	Cyanoramphus auriceps
Kea	Nestor notabilis
Blue duck, Whio, mountain duck, blue mountain duck	Hymenolaimus malacorhynchos
Great spotted kiwi, roa, roroa	Apteryx haastii
Bush falcon, Karearea	Falco novaeseelandiae "bush"
South Island Kaka, bush parrot, brown parrot, kawkaw	Nestor meridionalis meridionalis
Red mistletoe, pikirangi, pirirangi, pikiraki, Peraxilla tetrapetala piritā	
Yellow mistletoe	Alepis flavida
-	Ranunculus godleyanus
Grey Duck, Pacific black duck, parera, parera, gray duck, black duck	Anas superciliosa superciliosa
Black-fronted tern, tarapirohe, tarapiroe, blackfronted tern, black fronted tern	Chlidonias albobristatus
-	Charadrius bicinctus
Rock Wren	Xenicus gilviventris

### Geographical location

The Poulter Valley and South Hurunui Valley is situated 16 km SE of Arthur's Pass.

### TREATMENT BLOCK DETAILS:

<b>Treatment block</b>	South Hurunui Valley	
<b>Vegetation type</b>	Southern beech forest with extensive areas of mountain and red beech. Also riparian shrubland dominated by coprosma species and matagouri, bordered by grass/tussockland. At higher altitudes there are alpine shrub and herbfields. Annual rainfall is 3500 - 4500mm spread fairly evenly throughout the year, with generally heavy falls during spring and early summer and the occasional drought. Most rain and some snow is from the NW/SW. Temperature range is -5 to +35 deg C, with between 1600 and 2000 sunshine hours per yr.	
<b>Bioclimatic zone</b>	sub-alpine montane	
<b>Climate characteristics:</b>		
<b>Rainfall</b>	3500 mm	
<b>Temperature:</b>	<b>Average Summer</b>	18.0
	<b>Average Winter</b>	8.0
<b>Snow level</b>	90 m	

<b>Altitude</b>	600-1200 m	
<b>Community and Iwi interests</b>	Tramping, hunting, bird watching, public shelter, walking track, hut.	
<b>Historic sites</b>	-	
<b>Treatment block</b>	Poulter Valley	
<b>Vegetation type</b>	Mountain, red and occasional silver beech. Extensive river flats with short tussock grassland and mixed riparian shrublands.	
<b>Bioclimatic zone</b>	montane	
<b>Climate characteristics:</b>		
<b>Rainfall</b>	450 mm	
<b>Temperature:</b>	<b>Average Summer</b>	18.0
	<b>Average Winter</b>	8.0
<b>Snow level</b>	900 m	
<b>Altitude</b>	600 - 1200 m	
<b>Community and Iwi interests</b>	Popular area for tramping and hunting with several huts within the valley.	
<b>Historic sites</b>	-	

## 2.2 MANAGEMENT HISTORY

Management history was not chosen to be shown in this operational report. This history is, however, available via Pestlink

# 3 Outcomes and Targets

## 3.1 CONSERVATION OUTCOMES

To ensure the long-term survival of orange-fronted parakeet and mohua within the operational area.

## 3.2 TARGETS

### 3.2.1 Result Targets

The result targets for the treatment area were:

- (Poulter and South Hurunui Valleys) Possum populations will be reduced to less than 1% RTC (equivalent to 6% wax tag BMI) to allow orange-fronted parakeet and mohua populations to recover.

### 3.2.2 Outcome Targets

The outcome targets for the treatment area were:

- All known orange-fronted parakeet nests are fully protected against introduced predators.

# 4 Consultation, Consents & Notifications

## 4.1 CONSULTATION

---

28 identified parties were consulted about the proposed activity prior to the original resource consent being sought in 2006. These parties included the local runanga, adjoining landowners/managers, recreational user groups, concessionaires, NGO's and conservation interest groups.

Prior to each of the five occasions (2006, 2008, 2009, 2012 and 2013) that the resource consent needed to be exercised, proposed operations were publicly notified. (nb current consent is for 2011-2016) Based on the responses from the original 2006 consultation and the subsequent public notices, all parties that had previously expressed an interest were contacted in respect of the current consent application.

The proposal was discussed with the Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board at one of their meetings. All other parties received details, and an invitation to meet or respond, by post or email.

---

Iwi were sent an initial email outlining the application and inviting further discussion. They replied advising that they have no objection to a new resource consent being granted, provided consent conditions remain the same as the existing consent.

---

The adjoining land managers/occupiers were contacted by phone and sent a follow up e-mail on 13/09/2013 outlining the proposed application and seeking written affected persons approval.

---

### Consultation outcomes

N/A

### Lessons learned

N/A

## 4.2 CONSENTS

Consent	Consent date	File Reference	Permission ID
Resource Consent	29/08/2011	NHT-02-04-04	CRC120176
MOH Consent Poulter	29/10/2013	NHT-02-02-04	13/16/CHRPB/BW
MOH Consent Sth Hurunui	29/10/2013	NHT-02-02-04	13/17/CHRPB/BW
DOC Consent	23/10/2013	NHT-02-04-04	1302157
Landowner consents			

### Lessons learned

Combine consents where at all possible. One MOH consent should have been sufficient for both areas.

### 4.3 NOTIFICATION

The following parties were notified in September 2013 by letter and fact sheet: concessionaires, permit holders, conservation interest groups, recreation and hunting groups, community groups, police, local government and adjoining landowners.

Public notices were posted in the Christchurch Press and the Greymouth Evening Star.

#### Lessons learned

None

## 5 Methods

### 5.1 TARGET SPECIES

---

#### Treatment Block South Hurunui Valley

Control method	Name	Target pest species
Pesticide - Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in South Hurunui Valley-(2)	Possum

---

Treatment Block	Control Method	Name	Target Pest Species
South Hurunui Valley	Pesticide - Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in South Hurunui Valley-(2)	Possum

Trade name of pesticide	0.15% 1080 Pellets RS5
Name of pesticide	Sodium fluoroacetate
Type of bait	Cereal pellet
Toxic loading	1.5 g/kg
Bait quality sampling	Not Conducted

#### Bait Details

	Pre-feed	Toxic
Bait type	Cereal pellet	Cereal pellet
Lure/ mask/ deterrent	Cinnamon	Cinnamon
Lure/ mask/ deterrent	0.30%	0.30%
Dye	None	Green
Individual Bait Weight	6.0g	12.0g

#### Sowing Rate Details

Pre-feed			
Date	Rate(kg/ha)	Wind Speed	Direction
12/11/2013	1.00	Calm	Nil
Toxic			
03/12/2013	2.00	Light	NW

Time between pre-feed and toxic 21

End of Caution Period Date 03/08/2014  
 Aircraft type Hughes 500D or E  
 Squirrel AS 350  
 Number of Aircraft 1

**Sowing gear details**

Description	Capacity
Purpose built sowing bucket.	500 kg

Type of navigational guidance system used DGPS Trimble flight line 3

Loading Method By hand into loader hopper then loader into heli bucket.

**Complaints and Incidents**

An adjacent farmers dog suffered poisoning symptoms 2 months after the toxic drop and had to be euthanised. Tests showed it was not 1080 poison.

**Other Details about this method**

-

**Deviations from planned operation**

-

**Lessons Learned**

-

**Treatment Block Poulter Valley**

Control method	Name	Target pest species
Pesticide - Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in Poulter Valley-(1)	Possum

Treatment Block	Control Method	Name	Target Pest Species
Poulter Valley	Pesticide - Aerial	Pesticide - Aerial in Poulter Valley-(1)	Possum

Trade name of pesticide 0.15% 1080 Pellets RS5  
 Name of pesticide Sodium fluoroacetate  
 Type of bait Cereal pellet  
 Toxic loading 1.5 g/kg  
 Bait quality sampling Not Conducted

**Bait Details**

	Pre-feed	Toxic
Bait type	Cereal pellet	Cereal pellet
Lure/ mask/ deterrent	Cinnamon	Cinnamon
Lure/ mask/ deterrent	0.30%	0.30%



Dye	None	Green
Individual Bait Weight	6.0g	12.0g

### Sowing Rate Details

Pre-feed			
Date	Rate(kg/ha)	Wind Speed	Direction
13/11/2013	1.00	Light	NW
Toxic			
02/12/2013	2.00	Light	NW

Time between pre-feed and toxic 19  
 End of Caution Period Date 02/08/2014  
 Aircraft type Hughes 500D or E  
 Squirrel AS 350  
 Number of Aircraft 2

### Sowing gear details

Description	Capacity
Purpose built sowing bucket	500 kg

Type of navigational guidance system used DGPS Trimble flight line 3  
 Loading Method By hand into hopper loader and then loader into helicopter bucket

### Complaints and Incidents

Minor toxic overfly of a boundary near Ranger Biv. (cleared by hand)

### Other Details about this method

-

### Deviations from planned operation

-

### Lessons Learned

-

## 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

### 5.2.1 Effects on Non-Target Species

Death or injury.

Performance standard(s)	Followed ?	Monitored ?

### Effectiveness of performance standards

Effective

### Bykill of non-target species

No known non target effects. A dog showed poison symptoms but tests showed that it was not due to 1080.

### 5.2.2 Effects on Soil and Water Quality

Effects on Soil and Water Quality Not Applicable

### 5.2.3 Effects on Ecosystems

Effects on Ecosystems Not Applicable

### 5.2.4 Effects on Human Health

Potential for poisoning

Performance standard(s)	Followed ?	Monitored ?
MOH and DOC performance standards.- Exclusions around waterways. Signage, advertising and pesticide summary	Yes	Yes

#### Effectiveness of performance standards

Effective

## 6 Monitoring Results and Outcomes

### 6.1 RESULT MONITORING - TARGET SPECIES

#### Result target(s)

(Poulter and South Hurunui Valleys) Possum populations will be reduced to less than 1% RTC (equivalent to 6% wax tag BMI) to allow orange-fronted parakeet and mohua populations to recover.

#### 6.1.1 Target Species Monitoring WaxTag

##### Method:

**Species monitored** Possum - *Trichosurus vulpecula* in Poulter Valley

##### Monitor method details

Standard wax tag monitoring lines as per protocol.

##### Deviations

N/A

##### Target pest result details

	Pre	During/Post
<b>Monitoring dates</b>	14/11/2012	26/03/2014
<b>Results</b>	70% BMI = 12% RTC	9% BMI = 1.5% RTC

**Result target met?** No

##### Lessons Learned

N/A

#### 6.1.2 Target Species Monitoring WaxTag

##### Method:

**Species monitored** Possum - *Trichosurus vulpecula* in South Hurunui Valley

##### Monitor method details

Standard wax tag monitoring lines as per protocol.

##### Deviations

N/A

##### Target pest result details

	<b>Pre</b>	<b>During/Post</b>
<b>Monitoring dates</b>	16/10/2012	8/04/2014
<b>Results</b>	31% BMI = 5.2% RTC	10% BMI = 1.66% RTC

**Result target met?**

No

**Lessons Learned**

N/A

## **6.2 RESULT MONITORING - ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS**

### **6.2.1 Non Target Species**

No monitoring of non target species was undertaken.

### **6.2.2 Soil and Water Quality**

No monitoring of soil and water quality was undertaken.

### **6.2.3 Ecosystems**

No monitoring of ecosystems was undertaken.

### **6.2.4 Human Health**

**Monitoring of:**

Sign compliance, DOC Performance standards, MOH and product label requirements. Tracking of pesticide.

**Monitor Method details**

Observe and comply with all above standards and requirements.

**Deviations**

Nil.

**Monitoring dates**

As required.

**Results**

Standards followed as required. - Bait monitoring and checking signs became an issue as baits took longer than expected to break down.

**Lessons Learned**

N/A.

## **6.3 OUTCOME MONITORING**

**Outcome targets**

All known orange-fronted parakeet nests are fully protected against introduced predators.

No monitoring of outcomes was undertaken