

ORC Omnibus Plan Change - Plan Change 8

Submission Reference no: 69

Dugald MacTavish, **Wise Response Society Inc (Alan Mark)**



Submitter Type: Not specified

Source: Web Form

Overall Notes:

Clause

Are you a trade competitor?

Position

I am a person who would not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

Notes

Clause

What are you submitting on? You can submit on specific parts of Plan Change 8 or the whole plan change.

Position

I am submitting on the whole plan change.

Notes

Clause

What is your view on the Plan Change 8 or the specific parts listed above? Please select one, if you have multiple views state clearly in the notes box below.

Position

Multiple views

Notes

The key submissions we wish to make are that: 1) Nutrient and other potential contaminants should be controlled as INPUTS to landuse systems rather than as effects. The input levels should be calculated to be at or less than the rate that that would generate significant adverse effects. Policies must require this and ensure that the approach is adequately resourced and monitored. 2) The plan should specify landuse practice that sequesters carbon in the soil and specifically promotes healthy soil ecosystem function. Farm plans need to demonstrate "regenerative" practice that achieves this as a means of transitioning those agricultural practices which are aggravating the environmental crisis to ones that are not. 3) All plans (including this one) need to form an integrated, holistic approach to land management because good practice in managing water quantity has spin off for water quality and vis versa. Also there are synergies between the likes of water harvest, ground cover, biodiversity and arresting species extinction and ultimately our capacity to survive and thrive in Otago. 4) We consider the best way for landusers to achieve this is to extend the brief of the FMUs into CATCHMENT HUBS (with cross community representation) that examine the major global issues and threats (resource, economic, environmental, pandemic etc) and come up with community responses that address water quality issues along side the others . What is required is landuse responses that maximise community resilience rather than individual profit. In any event, as time goes on the two will increasingly converge, so it is a no regrets pathway.

Clause

The reason(s) for my views are:

Notes

We are not just exceeding safe nutrient levels for aquatic ecosystem function in reaches of our local rivers but more importantly, we are exceeding the safe rate at a global level which is unsustainable.

Clause

What decision would you like the Environment Court to make?

Position

Approve the plan change with amendments

Notes

Clause

The reasons form my view and/or any amendment(s) I am seeking are:

Notes

Water quality in some parts of Otago are continuing to deteriorate and this is a whole of system problem.

Clause

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? All submissions will be considered by the Environment Court. Please indicate if you wish to be heard in support of your submission.

Position

I wish to be heard in support of my submission

Notes**Clause**

Authority to act:

Position

I confirm I have the authority to sign this submission on behalf of the submitter

Notes

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 1 September 2020 11:39 am
To: Paula Duffy
Subject: RE: Wise Response submission #69 to the Omnibus Plan Change PC8

Hi Paula

If you haven't taken any action on this yet can you please amend submission 80069 to wish to be heard? Ideally through the online system but if not manually on the PDF is fine, I can then forward the updated submission on to the submitter and Frances.

Thanks!
Michaela

From: ORCplanchanges
Sent: Monday, 24 August 2020 6:48 p.m.
To: [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Wise Response submission #69 to the Omnibus Plan Change PC8

Hi – see email chain below. I don't think it will be a problem to change to 'wish to be heard', but it might need to wait until Michaela gets back to sort out.

Jillian

From: ORCplanchanges
Sent: Monday, 24 August 2020 6:47 pm
To: Dugald MacTavish [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Wise Response submission #69 to the Omnibus Plan Change PC8

Thanks Dugald – I will try to get that sorted prior to the further submission stage. I'm off on leave in a couple of days, for a week. But will forward it to someone who will be in touch with you in due course.

Jillian Kennemore
Project Leader, Land and Ocean Applications
Climate, Land & Oceans

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]



Follow us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#) and [LinkedIn](#).

This email message and any attachment(s) are intended for the addressee(s) only.
If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message and any attachment(s).

From: Dugald MacTavish [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 20 August 2020 9:08 pm
To: ORCplanchanges <ORCplanchanges@epa.govt.nz>
Subject: Re: Wise Response submission #69 to the Omnibus Plan Change PC8

Hello Michaela - I have just reread the submission that Wise Response sent you for the Omnibus PC8 and see that it says we do not wish to be heard. Im not sure how that happened as the group wishes to be heard please if that can be arranged.

Thankyou very much.

Dugald MacTavish (Sec, Wise Response Society)

--
Dugald MacTavish, [REDACTED]