

[Redacted]

From: Otago Regional Council <notifications@engagementhq.com>
Sent: Sunday, 3 May 2020 11:26 am
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water' with the responses below.

Full name of submitter

Jonathan Dillon Bell

Name of organisation (if applicable)

Shag Valley Station

Email

[Redacted]

Postal Address (or alternative method of contact)

[Redacted]

Phone number

[Redacted]

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

(Being 'heard' means speaking at a hearing)

Yes

If others have made a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing?

Yes

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition from this submission?

No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the plan change that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

I am

State what your submission relates to and if you support, oppose, or want it amended:

(e.g. support rule 'x', or amend policy 'y')

Submission relates to water permits to expire before 31 December 2025. Oppose 10A.2.1 b and e and Rules 10A.3.1.1 b iv and vi

State what decision you want the Otago Regional Council to make:

(e.g. amend policy 'y' to say....)

That the water permit being replaced should be renewed under its existing conditions including the rate of take, total take and minimum flow cut off levels.

Give reasons for the decision you want made:

(e.g. I want policy 'y' changed because...)

Policy 10a.2 should be changed because using the historical take data from 2012-2017 does not allow for factors outside of Farmer control that may have affected water use. Examples of this could be flood damage to infrastructure or the trialing of dryland plant species to make a property more sustainable. This could mean a water user being penalised for trying to be a more efficient water user. A reduced take may not allow the uptake of future technologies that will allow efficient water use and increased per hectare production in a sustainable manner.