

[Redacted]

From: Otago Regional Council <notifications@engagementhq.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 28 April 2020 9:01 pm
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water' with the responses below.

Full name of submitter

Russell Dean Nevill

Name of organisation (if applicable)

Not applicable

Email

[Redacted]

Postal Address (or alternative method of contact)

[Redacted]

Phone number

[Redacted]

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

(Being 'heard' means speaking at a hearing)

No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition from this submission?

No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the plan change that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

I am not

State what your submission relates to and if you support, oppose, or want it amended:

(e.g. support rule 'x', or amend policy 'y')

I oppose Plan Change 7 in its entirety.

State what decision you want the Otago Regional Council to make:

(e.g. amend policy 'y' to say....)

I do not want Plan Change 7 adopted in any way.

Give reasons for the decision you want made:

(e.g. I want policy 'y' changed because...)

I believe that the ORC should reinstate and complete the permit renewal process that was beginning to be implemented before PC7 was proposed. 1. Substantial money has already been spent on more efficient water use, water monitoring (quality and quantity), consultants and protecting our local native fish population to meet the proposed changes that were to be brought in in 2021 only to have the goal posts moved. 2. Individuals have spent a great deal of money on infrastructure to meet standards implemented by the ORC. PC7 would prevent such infrastructure (pivots, dams, etc) from being used to their potential. 3. PC7 is too complex for users to understand and implement without the aid of consultants - yet another cost to users. This is a barrier to compliance. 4. The need to feed people will only increase over time so to restrict the ability to grow food in all its forms is short sighted.