

[Redacted]

From: Otago Regional Council <notifications@engagementhq.com>
Sent: Thursday, 30 April 2020 8:55 pm
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Submission Form – Proposed Water Permits Plan Change (Plan Change 7) to the Regional Plan: Water' with the responses below.

Full name of submitter

Claire and Jack Davis

Name of organisation (if applicable)

Hiburn Farm and Coburn Partnership

Email

[Redacted]

Postal Address (or alternative method of contact)

[Redacted]

Phone number

[Redacted]

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

(Being 'heard' means speaking at a hearing)

Yes

If others have made a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing?

Yes

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition from this submission?

No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the plan change that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

I am

State what your submission relates to and if you support, oppose, or want it amended:

(e.g. support rule 'x', or amend policy 'y')

We Strongly oppose the PPC7 We submit that PPC7 be withdrawn

State what decision you want the Otago Regional Council to make:

(e.g. amend policy 'y' to say....)

We want the Council withdraw the Plan Change 7 as it is completely unworkable

Give reasons for the decision you want made:

(e.g. I want policy 'y' changed because...)

We oppose the 6 year and 15 year Term This takes time and expense. We propose that EPA recommend a term of 30 to 35 years where the application can demonstrate any environmental effects have been mitigated. 2. Ref 10A.2(B)/10A.3 This policy absolutely negates any development we have done since, and any development we could achieve through efficiency of use gains. Many meetings we have attended with the council has encouraged us to change our irrigation systems to more efficient use and we have done that from border dyke irrigation to K Lines. Our country needs our production, and we can't do that without water. We are not asking for more, just security to continue farming. We are very small users with a small footprint and the ballooning costs are unacceptable. Right at the onset of this procedure we were told at a meeting in Alexandra that no one would be worse off. Irrigation has been in place for over 100 years and fish are still in the creeks and the environment you want to protect is still there. We have been looking after to it all the time. Claire Davis