



SUBMISSION FORM – Proposed Plan Change 7(Water Permits) to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

Form 5, Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Office use only

Full name of submitter: Geoffrey Thomas Clouston

Name of organisation (if applicable): Avonrath Ltd (Farm).....

Email: [redacted]

Postal Address (or alternative method of contact): [redacted]

.....

Telephone: [redacted]

I wish I do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of my further submission.

If others made a similar submission, I **will** consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

Trade competitor's declaration (if applicable)
I **could not** (circle one) gain an advantage in trade competition from this submission

I **am** (circle one) directly affected by an effect of the plan change that
(a) Adversely affects the environment; and
(b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature of submitter:
.....4/5/20.....

Date:

(Or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission.
Signature not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Please note that all submissions are made available for public inspection.

State what your submission relates to and if you support, oppose, or want it amended:
(e.g. support rule 'x', or amend policy 'y')

My submission relates to the complete elimination of PC7. The basic science is not right, data averages are not true averages (10 year average more accurate) A six year water right is detrimental to all, what bank is going to lend money for progress and developments in our area or who will want to lend money to prospective farm buyers in our area. The whole country area will come to a stand still. Status quo is the only answer in our catchment.

.....
.....
.....
.....

.....
.....

State what decision you want the Otago Regional Council to make:

(e.g. amend policy 'y' to say....)

Status quo is the only option for our area. PC 7 should be abolished. Along with the CEO chairman, and any other council staff or councillors who are not behind making Otago a better place for us and future generations to live in. .

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Give reasons for the decision you want made:

(e.g. I want policy 'y' changed because...)

On my farm in 2008 the ORC was pushy for efficient use of water through spray irrigation.

I like many other famers started on a irrigation development programme, where the commonly spoken words, spray equals compliance, compliance equals roll over of water rights.

My 2.5 million dollar project, was installed using the then day flows and rights. 360 Hectares of land was irrigated over the next 7 years using spray irrigation along with six storage dams that are all intergrated with each other, on my fattening farm, creating two more full time jobs. I believe \$200 million has been invested in our area achieving compliance the same way. Plan PC 7 would be an economic disaster for our whole valley.

Our very complex water sharing water distributing model is as good as we can do for the people and the environment.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Please attach any additional information.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM ON Monday 4 May 2020

Post to Otago Regional Council
Private Bag 1954
Dunedin 9054

Email to policy@orc.govt.nz

Deliver to

Otago Regional Council offices at:

- 70 Stafford Street, Dunedin
- William Fraser Building, Dunorling Street, Alexandra
- Terrace Junction, 1092 Frankton Road, Queenstown

Online at

www.orc.govt.nz

Please note:

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.