



SUBMISSION FORM – Proposed Plan Change 7(Water Permits) to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

Form 5, Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Office use only

Full name of submitter: André Lategan

Name of organisation (if applicable): Amisfield LP

Email: andre@amisfield.co.nz

Postal Address (or alternative method of contact): PO Box133 Arrowtown

.....

Telephone: 0274309434.....

I wish / ~~do not wish~~ (circle preference) to be heard in support of my further submission.

If others made a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

Trade competitor’s declaration (if applicable)

I ~~could~~ / could not (circle one) gain an advantage in trade competition from this submission

I am / am not (circle one) directly affected by an effect of the plan change that

- (a) Adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature of submitter: Date:

(Or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission.

Signature not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Please note that all submissions are made available for public inspection.

State what your submission relates to and if you support, oppose, or want it amended:

(e.g. support rule ‘x’, or amend policy ‘y’)

Our submission relates to Plan Change 7 in its entirety.....

We oppose Plan Change 7 in its entirety

Amisfield LP is a shareholder in the Pisa Irrigation Company Limited and fully supports PICL’s membership of and the submission on Plan Change7 by Otago Water Resources Group (OWRUG)

About Amisfield LP:

Amisfield is one of the larger shareholders in the Pisa Irrigation Company.

*We are a producer of premium wines

*Our vineyard being established in Pisa more than 20 years ago

* The majority of our wine sales earns foreign currency

* Employment is labour intensive, the vineyard employs 22 permanent staff with an additional up to 60 seasonal workers.

PC7's implementation will have serious implications for Amisfield. We therefore oppose it entirely.

.....
.....

State what decision you want the Otago Regional Council to make:

(e.g. amend policy 'y' to say....)

As per the 3 options put forth by OWRUG

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Give reasons for the decision you want made:

(e.g. I want policy 'y' changed because...)

Plan Change 7 proposed by the ORC uses methodology of averaging data from only a number of abstraction places, with possible incorrect figures due to lack of data (gaps from eg. break downs of measuring devices) and the short 2012-2017 period used.

Our use of water is extremely efficient with meticulous monitoring and drip irrigation systems. PC7's method of calculating as per above would effectively reduce our allocation leaving the skilful users like ourselves with little margin for a higher irrigation demand season.

Grapes only start producing after 3years and full production after 6 years. A 6 or even 15 year resource consent for water is unacceptable to base planning upon; viticulture is a very long term venture – substantial financial investment may only see return on capital after 10 years.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Please attach any additional information.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM ON Monday 4 May 2020

Post to Otago Regional Council
Private Bag 1954
Dunedin 9054

Email to policy@orc.govt.nz

Deliver to Otago Regional Council offices at:

- 70 Stafford Street, Dunedin
- William Fraser Building, Dunorling Street, Alexandra
- Terrace Junction, 1092 Frankton Road, Queenstown

Online at www.orc.govt.nz

Please note:

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.