



Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Further Submission on Otago Regional Council's Plan Change 1 – Dust Suppressants and Landfills

2nd October 2020



FURTHER SUBMISSION TO OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL ON PLAN CHANGE 1 (DUST SUPPRESSANTS AND LANDFILLS)

Form 6

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on publicly notified proposed policy statement or plan

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: *Otago Regional Council*
policy@orc.govt.nz

Name of further submitter: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Contact person: Kim Reilly
Regional Policy Manager, South Island.

Address for service: kreilly@fedfarm.org.nz
PO Box 5242
Dunedin 9058

This is a further submission in response to submission/s made on the following proposed plan change – Plan Change 1 (Dust Suppressants and Landfills)

The following pages detail the specifics in relation to our support or opposition to various submissions lodged. Our further submissions include the particular parts of each submission supported or opposed alongside our reasons for that position and what decision we seek from the local authority.

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

Note to person making further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after making the further submission to the local authority.

Where Federated Farmers submitted on the same variation point as any other submitter it stands by its original submission.

This Further Submission provides Federated Farmers views on points raised by other submitters.

Submitter Name	Sub No.	Section of Plan	Decision requested	Support / Oppose	Reason for submission
Dunedin City Council	10019.1	Chapter 6	Amend by providing a 1-year transition period to cease using waste oil as a dust suppressant.	Support	Reasonable and appropriate to provide sufficient transitioning time.
Maori Point Vineyard	10008.1	Plan Change 1	Approve the plan change with amendments - Add new section which requires monitoring of bores and other sources of potable water for contamination by agricultural chemicals and nutrient loads.	Oppose	Already addressed in other plan provisions and Council functions.
Southern District Health Board	10009.1	Policy 6.4.10	Amend final sentence of explanation as follows: <u>With safer alternatives now more readily available, waste oil should no longer must not be applied as a dust suppressant.</u>	Oppose	We prefer wording as originally drafted.
Dunedin International Airport Limited	10015.1	Policy 7.4	Amend by adding new Policy 7.4.11A as follows: <u>7.4.11A Avoid the effects of landfills on airports</u>	Oppose	Concerns are sufficiently addressed via other provisions. Such broad protection for one entity is not substantiated.
Dunedin International Airport Limited	10015.2	Policy 7.4.11	Amend by adding new clause (b)(v) as follows: <u>(v) Methods to ensure compatibility with surrounding land uses.</u>	Support	We consider it is reasonable to ensure compatibility with surrounding land uses.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection	10025.4	Rule 6.6.2	Amend as follows: <u>(c) The discharge does not produce an objectionable odour, or a conspicuous oil or grease film, scum or foam in any:</u>	Oppose in part	The definition of wetland is broad and will capture a range of areas, including those constructed or artificially created to address these objectionable effects.

Submitter Name	Sub No.	Section of Plan	Decision requested	Support / Oppose	Reason for submission
Society of New Zealand Inc			<p><u>(i) Lake, river or Regionally Significant Wetland;</u> <u>or</u> <u>(ii) Drain or water race that flows to a lake, river, Regionally Significant Wetland or coastal marine area; or</u> <u>(iii) Bore or soak hole; and</u> <u>(d) The discharge is not undertaken in a manner that results in ponding or overland flow that enters any:</u> <u>(i) Lake, river, Regionally Significant Wetland or coastal marine area; or</u> <u>(ii) Drain or water race that goes to any lake, river, Regionally Significant Wetland or coastal marine area.</u></p>		This has the potential to perversely discourage the creation of such artificial wetlands.
Department of Conservation	10031.5	Rule 6.6.2	<p>Retain additions, and delete from Rule 6.6.2: (c)(i) and (ii) and (d)(i) and (ii) “Regionally Significant” and replace with “<i>natural</i>”</p>	Support in part	Support the restriction to natural wetlands, as this ensures artificially created wetlands, which have been constructed with the specific task of mitigating such effects, are not captured. However, prefer focus on Regionally Significant Wetlands as per previous plan change processes and decisions, pending full plan review.