

[REDACTED]

From: NorthernCorridor
Sent: Tuesday, 18 July 2017 5:12 PM
To: 'Nicky McIndoe'
Cc: louise.strogen [REDACTED]; Christina Sheard; Deepak Rama (Deepak.Rama [REDACTED]); Michelle Burns (Michelle.Burns [REDACTED]); Jenny Chung; Damien McGahan (Damien.McGahan [REDACTED])
Subject: RE: NCI - further information request - clarification sought [KS-KSNational.FID326111]

Hi Nicky

The Board have meet and considered your email seeking clarification on the further information request. Please find below clarification from the Board in regards to your questions.

Paragraph 5

The Board in general supports your approach in regards to the plans with some amendments. They support:

- The provision of aerial photographs of the entire Project area;
- Allocating each property within or directly adjacent to the Project area a unique number and listing each property in an accompanying table.
- The proposed categories of effects in the table are sufficient.
- Not including in the table land which is currently used as state highway or local road.

In regards to not including within the table land other than that which is directly adjacent to the Project or within the proposed designation footprint, the Board considers that the effects should not be constrained to those adjoining properties. Therefore, it could be that if an identified effect does go beyond directly adjacent properties and those within the proposed designation boundaries this should be clearly outlined.

In terms of focus, the Board would like both permanent effects, as well as, construction effects to be covered. This could be achieved by having two maps, one for construction and the other for operational effects.

The Board in general supports simplifying and describing the effect after applying any proposed mitigation. However, it is important you outline what is proposed and how it will be resolved (stepping the Board through this). There may be times where further explanation may be required in particular areas. This will ensure that nothing is missed.

The Board does seek clarification in regard to vegetation loss, which will be noted without regard to any replacement planting. The Board requests that any replacement planting should be clearly identified and what the replacement planting is off-setting.

The timeframe for the delivery of the maps is acceptable.

Paragraph 6

The Board can confirm that it does not seek information relating to health and safety effects during the operation of the Project (e.g. vehicle and SUP user safety) or on land which will be used for construction purposes. In regards to bullet point two "...in terms of the interface of the works with public areas and other properties" the Board does seek clarification on the definition of "other properties".

Paragraph 7

The Board can confirm that they are comfortable with the potential public health affects you have identified (contamination (including from Closed Rosedale Landfill), dust and noise). However, they have asked if there are any other public health effects you have identified they should be included.

If you have any further questions please do not hesitate to raise this with the Board.

Regards

Amy

On behalf of
Northern Corridor Improvements Proposal Team

Environmental Protection Authority • Level 10 • 215 Lambton Quay • Private Bag 63002 • Wellington 6140 • New Zealand • 
 •  •  • Follow us on Twitter [@EPAGovtNZ](https://twitter.com/EPAGovtNZ) • www.epa.govt.nz

This email message and any attachment(s) are intended for the addressee(s) only. The contents may be confidential and are not necessarily the opinions of EPA New Zealand. If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message and any attachment(s).


































