

**Before a Board of Inquiry
Northern Corridor Improvements Project**

Under the Resource Management Act 1991 ('the Act')

In the matter of a Board of Inquiry appointed under section 149J of the Act to consider notices of requirement for designation and resource consent applications by the New Zealand Transport Agency for the Northern Corridor Improvements Project

Summary Statement of Paul Michael Glucina on behalf of the New Zealand Transport Agency (Corporate)

Dated 17 July 2017

KENSINGTON SWAN

18 Viaduct Harbour Avenue Ph +64 9 379 4196
Private Bag 92101 Fax +64 9 309 4276
Auckland 1142 DX CP22001

Solicitor: C M Sheard/N McIndoe
christina.sheard@kensingtonswan.com/nicky.mcindoe@kensingtonswan.com

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF PAUL GLUCINA ON BEHALF OF THE NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY

1 Introduction

1.1 This summary statement provides a summary of my Evidence In Chief ('EIC'), dated 20 April 2017 and my rebuttal evidence and includes updates to my evidence since my rebuttal evidence was provided on 15 June 2017.

2 My change of role

2.1 On 3 July 2017, the Transport Agency's restructure took effect. My new role is Design Portfolio Manager - Auckland. In that role, I am responsible for the development of integrated transport solutions from the early business case phase, through to pre-implementation and consent approvals.

3 Summary of evidence in chief

3.1 My EIC explains how the Project fits with the Transport Agency's statutory role and function. The Project will complete the Western Ring Route ('WRR'), a Road of National Significance, which will provide an alternative route from the airport towards the north. As part of the WRR, the Project is critical to improving the movement of freight and people in North Auckland. The Project will deliver many benefits, including greater inter-regional network resilience, improving connectivity for local traffic, improved journey times, and a wider choice of transport for users in the area (including walking, cycling and public transport).

3.2 My EIC sets out the Project objectives and explains that the development of the Project has been subject to a comprehensive public consultation process. As described in **Ms Brock's** evidence, the Transport Agency began consultation on the Project with the public, residents, and stakeholders in 2014.¹ Iwi consultation has been undertaken via the Northern Integrated Iwi Group as set out in **Mr Rama's** evidence.² The

¹ Paragraph 4.2 of Ms Brock's evidence in chief.

² Paragraph 4.1 of Mr Rama's evidence in chief.

Transport Agency has also been working collaboratively with Auckland Transport throughout the development of the Project, and this relationship continues. Other key stakeholders involved in the development of the Project have included AC, Watercare, Transpower and Harbour Hockey Charitable Trust.

- 3.3 Many of the submissions lodged have been in support of the Project, and many have acknowledged the transport benefits of the Project including walking, cycling, public transport and vehicle transport. Several submissions have requested the future proofing of the Project to ensure that elements such as the south facing ramps, the Rosedale Bus Station and use of the busway for light rail can occur at a later date. As explained in **Mr Moore's** evidence, the Project has been specifically designed to ensure that these elements can be incorporated in the future.³

4 Summary of rebuttal evidence

- 4.1 In my rebuttal evidence I addressed matters raised in the evidence of a number of submitters.⁴
- 4.2 I also provided an update on the position in relation to the stormwater management pond options for Rook and Bluebird Reserves. The Transport Agency confirmed that the Rook Reserve is the preferred option for the stormwater location. Discussions have been progressing relating to a concept plan being developed for the reserve. The principles of the concept plan have now been captured within the designation conditions.⁵



Paul Michael Glucina

17 July 2017

³ Section 7 of Mr Moore's evidence in chief. Also considered in section 9 of Mr Moore's evidence in the response to submissions.

⁴ Daniel Newcombe (Corporate) – Auckland Transport; Alastair Lovell (Planning) – Auckland Transport; Maylene Barrett (Open Space and Recreation) – Auckland Council; Mr Fraser and Mr Trautvetter – Kiwi Self Storage Limited; Peter Fogarty; and David Wilmott.

⁵ Condition UDL 6B, as attached to the Joint Witness Statement: Planning, dated 30 June / 3-6 July 2017.