

[REDACTED]

From: rochelle bullock [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 3:05 PM
To: TTRLApplication
Subject: Speaking in Whanganui

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Dear DMC

My name is Rochelle Bullock and I have already stated that I wish to speak at the TTR hearing and that I wish to speak in my home town Whanganui.

Approximately 532 people have requested to speak through your submission process on the KASM site alone and I think it is very disappointing that you have not followed the same process as the previous submission by which the EPA sent us the information personally via email. This allowed local people to be fully informed.

I am extremely disappointed that the EPA has not chosen the logical locations of Whanganui and Patea to hold the hearing.

We are the locals who live in the area directly affected by the TTR application and yet you have bypassed us.

The EPA are fully aware of the issues facing our community and we wish to speak in our environment where the impact will be most felt.

The lack of consideration to our people both Iwi and local Whanganui is offensive .

This is an injustice of the process and will also occur a great cost to us as a community not to mention mass inconvenience of travelling, accomodation costs and taking time of work. Unlike the EPA as a community we are not paid to take part in the submission but forced to protect our environment yet again after the last failure of TTR.

Once again our community is being disadvantaged

Skype is not an acceptable approach for our community and will lack the face to face respect that is due to our people

To avoid our towns Whanganui and Patea is like a red bull to a flag , simply EPA is making a bold statement 'local people dont matter and we are not invested in hearing you speak "

Due to the flaws in your process some of the following people will not have received this information.

So on behalf of [REDACTED]

I request a scheduled spot for all of us to deliver on the same day.

As for Venues in Whanganui and Patea, that is a not a problem.

I would appreciate a response as quickly as possible please

Nga mihi Rochelle Bullock

[REDACTED]

From: rochelle bullock [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 12 January 2017 7:42 PM
To: TTRLApplication
Subject: Re: TTRL Application - Acknowledgement of Submission REF:0409338

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email.

I have already confirmed that I wish to speak at the hearing and I wish to speak at my home town Whanganui.

I request that the DMC seriously looks at the logistics of people who wish to speak having to travel hours each way to speak for 15 minutes....

Skype is not an acceptable form as this does not allow for the presence of many of our community who wish to support to take part and reeks of lack of respect.

The EPA will certainly not get a true sense of the feelings and concerns that our community faces.

I am gravely disappointed at the EPA's or DMC's lack of consideration in this matter, as I'm sure you are very aware that this puts local people at a distinct disadvantage and appears to be favoring the Mining company.

This made front page news in the Whanganui Chronicle yesterday as such is the public interest with regard to this proposal.

PLEASE I appeal to your sense of fairness and decency to allow locals, who are the most affected to speak in the place where we live.

I find it hypocritical that TTR want our resources and the EPA who may or may not approve their application not to hold some of the hearings where we live.

If we are not important enough to visit or be heard in our home town what does that say about your process?

So I ask you again EPA and DMC why are you not holding the hearings here in Whanganui or Patea.

I have asked and there has been no answer.

Rochelle Bullock

[REDACTED]

From: rochelle bullock [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 13 January 2017 12:59 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: TTRL application and the DMC decision not to hold hearings at Marae or for local people of Whanganui and Patea

To the Chair and/or Manager of Ngā Kaihautū Tikanga Taiao

My name is: Rochelle Te Kaho Bullock

I am a representative of: Te Kopere O Raehina trust and of my Nga Rauru kiitahi and Ati Hau nui Iwi

I am extremely disappointed that the DMC has decided not to hold hearings firstly at Marae with the mana whenua and secondly in our local area of Whanganui.

This seems highly illogical, why would the DMC not hold a hearing at the location of the people most affected by this application.

The DMC is not following the same process as before and this will mean a great disadvantage to all people concerned locally.

It is not just or fair and will be of great advantage to the Mining company.

This decision will mean and I'm sure the DMC and the TTRL are completely aware of will mean, many people will not be able to speak at or attend the hearing.

The option of Skype is offensive to say the least. This is not an option for our people. Respect must be shown and the proper channels taken to hear our concerns.

I have emailed twice with my concerns and have received no answers to my questions.

The option of only speaking Wellington and New Plymouth sends a clear message to our Iwi and locals of Whanganui, that the EPA namely the DMC is not invested in listening to the people most affected by this application.

There is enormous interest in this subject. It was front page news on Wednesday

There were 17000 submissions and approximately 530 who wish to speak and ticked the box to do so

Executive Summary Recommendation:-

That NKTT seek to support, or advise on our request for a public hearing to be held here in the Whanganui/Pātea rohe for the purposes of submitters being heard by the EPA EEZ Decision Making Authority in relation to the TTR Application.

We represent a potentially significant voice in decision making process currently initiated by the TTR application representatives.

We believe the EPA will be powerfully served by holding a public hearing here in Whanganui/Pātea.

We outline below the reasoning why we believe a public hearing held here in Whanganui/Pātea potentially on a marae or in the very least a culturally appropriate venue would empower the EPA decision making Authority to gather best intel, culturally sensitive mātauranga pertaining to the Treaty of Waitangi obligations for the protection of Taonga, participation in decision making that affects our community and a Treaty partnership that respects the mana of kanohi ki te kanohi shared engagement.

Understanding and managing impacts on the relationship of Māori to their ancestral lands and waters are an integral part of the decision-making process for applications to the EPA, including in the EEZ and we see the benefits of a public hearing being held in our rohe as best practice kanohi ki Te kanohi engagement.

The EPA's work in this area is informed by your statutory Māori Advisory capacity and we seek your support in seeing the mana in facilitating a kanohi Ki Te kanohi engagement here inside our rohe. We are

aware of precedents of hearings being supported by NKTT in the past eg 1080 review hearing held on Pōhara marae in 2007/2008.

We are aware of NKTT's excellent record in supporting māori interest as per your statutory duty in a neutral capacity bringing about sound engagement between ERMA applicants in the past and EPA applications currently.

We seek your support again in this manner through your historical record across Māoridom nationally. We are aware that TTR applicants will need to include information about the adverse effects of their proposed activity on Māori existing interests. We are aware of their duty to also outline measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate those effects.

We are aware that existing interests include but are not limited to:

Those identified through historical and contemporary Treaty of Waitangi Settlements (including the Fisheries Claim Settlement Act 1992) and customary marine title, and protected customary rights granted under the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011. Management Consents other Acts that impact the EEZ Act

We note that your own advice to potential EEZ applicants highlights the following:

"The best and most appropriate way of obtaining and understanding information about the effects of any proposed activity on these existing interests, is to engage directly with representatives of those interests."

We agree in full with this guiding advice and we see a public hearing kanohi Ki Te kanohi with the TTR applicants as an opportunity for that quality of information and the mana of its relationship to our aspirations for protection, partnership and participation in decision making processes that serve to affect our taonga as the best practice option.

We note that you are developing guiding information for applicants wishing to engage with Iwi/Māori through your web resources in Te Hautū section of your website and you are developing guidelines and tools to support operators in effectively addressing Māori interests.

We highlight that as a benefit for applicants but wish to point out that kanohi Ki Te kanohi opportunities further enhance the potential for uniquely held Whanganui and Taranaji values, mātauranga and kaitiakitanga to be shared in ways that empower best practice EEZ decision making.

We note that Under the EEZ Amendment Act 2013, the EPA has an obligation to serve a copy of the application for a non-notified discretionary activity on affected iwi authorities, customary marine title groups and protected customary rights groups.

During the preparation of their application, applicants are required to identify and consult with existing interest holders (including iwi authorities and Māori groups) who may be affected by the proposed activity. We consider ourselves to be a potentially affected community and seek kanohi Ki Te kanohi engagement to share our position on the application but more than this seek to enhance the area of EEZ policy in future decision making processes while ensuring Ngā Kaihautū has achieved their duty to ensure the widest voice for māoridom.

We note that the EPA states it will hold, and continue to develop, information relating to Māori involvement under the EEZ Act

. We note that this information will include but will not be limited to:

1.Guidelines for engaging with Māori 2.Guidelines outlining what information applicants should obtain during their engagement with Māori and how to present it in their proposals or plans 3.Lists of existing interests to be engaged or notified 4.Other tools to support effective engagement.

In closing while we recognise these goals and aspirations of NKTT through Te Kura Kaupapa we highlight again the mutual potential benefits for a public hearing held on an appropriate venue here in our rohe will not only aid the applicant in their application process better understand our position, but aid the EPA, NKTT and possibly the national māori network understand the unique stance we have in the face of this application's potential affects.

With respect I seek to potentially meet with Ngā Kaihautū if the timing is fortunate and/or at the least communicate with you the Chair or the Manager Māori KKT in the hope of seeing the reasonable request for a public hearing to be held here in Whanganui/Pātea rohe as a logical step to take in the EEZ decision making process over the TTR application.

We note the preferred locations of the hearing schedule - Wellington and New Plymouth. We highlight that hearings are in and of themselves somewhat onerous on time poor whanau and financially limited kaitiaki. We see a facilitated kanohi Ki Te kanohi engagement here in Whanganui/Pātea as best practice demonstration of proactive protection, participation and partnership as enshrined in the Treaty of Waitangi recognised by the EPA.

My contact details are

[REDACTED]

I am free to kōrero at any time in the next few days unless I am out of range

I look forward to any contact, guidance, interaction, response you may provide as we continue through the submissions process to the best of our ability.

With respect Nāku noa na Rochelle Te Kaho Bullock