

From: Anna Woolfrey [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 29 August 2019 4:52 PM
To: Reassessments
Subject: Methyl Bromide Reassessment - 2019 submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Copied to SharePoint

Anna Woolfrey
[REDACTED]

Regarding the Reassessment of Methyl Bromide, as a highly concerned resident and mother of children at local schools, I highly encourage you to not allow an extension to the 2020 deadline as set out by the EPA in 2010. I have read the STIMBR application and I don't believe enough research has been carried out on the health effects on the local population here in Mt Maunganui and I remain highly concerned about its continued use so close to a windy residential area. I don't believe the current techniques and controls are providing workers, residents and the environment with enough safety from this highly toxic substance.

- * I call for the controls set by the EPA in 2010 be adhered to.
- * I wish to be assured that there are even tighter controls and monitoring in place due to the highly toxic nature of this product. Industry should foot the bill on the extra monitoring, not ratepayers!
- * I want to see methyl bromide use reduced as it damages the ozone layer
- * I am highly concerned in the conflict of interest of STIMBR – a research organization funded by Forestry and clearly a lobby group for the continued use of this product.
- * I want it to be noted local residents are extremely concerned about Methyl Bromide use – we feel industry pressure and profits are considered above public health

Tauranga is now a large residential area with many schools and a Marae within 1 kilometre of the Port. The industry has had 10 years to get this in place. PLEASE DO NOT GIVE THEM MORE TIME.

Thank you for your consideration.
Anna Woolfrey